

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,754	02/28/2002	Roger W. Whatmore	112113	3781
7590 12/02/2005		EXAMINER		
Oliff & Berridge			ALANKO, ANITA KAREN	
PO Box 19928		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA 22320				TAI ER NOMBER
			1765	174 Lit Non

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/control No	Reexamination			
	10/069,754	WHATMORE ET	AL.		
		Art Unit			
	Anita Alanko	1765			
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC					
Notice of Panel De			f Review		
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri	ef Request for Review f	iled <u>11/17/05</u> .			
 Improper Request – The Req reason(s): 	uest is improper and a	conference will not be helc	for the following		
☐ The Notice of Appeal has no ☐ The request does not include ☐ A proposed amendment is in ☐ Other:	e reasons why a review	is appropriate.	Request.		
The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm	continues to run from the continues to run f	ne receipt date of the Notic of Appeal has been receive	e of Appeal or from ed.		
2. Proceed to Board of Patent A held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month frunning from the receipt of the notice appeal brief is extendible under 37 of the notice of appeal, as applicable	appeal because there is in accordance with 37 (om mailing this decision to of appeal, whichever is SFR 1.136 based upon t	s at least one actual issue CFR 41.37. The time perion, or the balance of the two s greater. Further, the time	for appeal. Applicant of for filing an appeal ormonth time period period for filing of the		
 ☑ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,6-9,18 Claim(s) withdrawn from considerations. 	5- <u>21</u> .	e) is as follows:			
3. Allowable application – A con Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution applicant at this time.	nference has been held n on the merits remains	. The rejection is withdraw s closed. No further action	n and a Notice of is required by		
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A con action will be mailed. No further action	ference has been held. on is required by applic	The rejection is withdrawn ant at this time.	and a new Office		
All participants:	n_	Robert Warden.			
(1) Nadine G. Norton. Rosh Morto. (2) Anita Alanko. Hash	(3) <u>/</u>	Robert Warden.			
(2) Anita Alanko. HG	(4)_	·			